

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/697,625	WONG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Travis R. Hunnings	2612	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Travis R. Hunnings.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Richard Krinsky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 April 2006

**Time:** 11:00 am and 12:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

1,14,15,16,17,24 and 26

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A proposed examiner's amendment was discussed along with minor claim language alteration. An agreement was reached to proceed with the examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance and expedite prosecution.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS MULLEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER -AU 2612

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)